



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,918	05/23/2002	Kazuo Sekiya	JP920010014US1	4408
877	7590	02/27/2004	EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION, T.J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			WARD, AARON S	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2675		
DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004				

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/063,918	SEKIYA ET AL.
	Examiner Aaron S. Ward	Art Unit 2675

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2002.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 May 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed June 21, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hartman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,495,265).

As to claim 1, Hartman et al. teaches an LCD including a cell 2-5 (Fig. 1) forming a display area, a driver 22 applying a voltage, and an overdrive controller 10 – 21 to apply overdrive voltage exceeding a target value (column 5, lines 30-33). The overdrive controller 10 – 21 stores predicted capacitance values and calculates overdrive voltage based on predicted capacitance (column 6, lines 17-25).

As to claim 2, the LCD includes a memory 20 storing voltage information for capacitances. The overdrive controller 10 – 21 interpolates (column 2, lines 36-56) the voltage information stored in memory 20 to calculate the overdrive voltage.

As to claim 3, the overdrive controller 10 – 21 predicts a capacitance value (column 2, lines 36-48) of a pixel 4 one frame period later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying a predetermined voltage to the pixel 4 with a certain capacitance value and stores the prediction (column 2, lines 47-55).

As to claim 4, the LCD further includes memory 20 storing capacitance values of pixels 4 reached on frame period later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying predetermined voltage to the pixel 4 with a certain capacitance value. The overdrive controller 10 – 21 interpolates the information (column 2, lines 36-48) about the stored capacitances in the memory 20 to calculate the predicted value.

As to claim 5, the cell 2 – 5 has a nature where brightness change delays compared with capacitance change (column 1, lines 51-64).

As to claim 6, Hartman et al. teaches an LCD including cell 2 – 5 displaying an image from pixels 4 having a TFT structure (column 4, line 2), a driver 22 applying voltage to each pixel 4 of the cell 2 – 5, and controller 10 – 21 controlling the driver 22 to apply voltage exceeding applied voltage (column 5 lines 30-33) when displaying brightness on the cell. The controller 10 – 21 includes voltage calculating means (column 2 lines 47 – 48) for calculating cell voltage based on brightness on refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) corresponding to displayed pixel value and present capacitance of the pixel predicted in advance (column 6, lines 17-25).

As to claim 7, the controller 10 – 21 includes capacitance predicting means (column 2, lines 47-48 and column 6, lines 17-25) for predicting pixel capacitance value reached after the refresh cycle when applying the calculated voltage with the present capacitance, and storage means 20 (column 6, lines 19-20) for storing predicted capacitance values. The voltage calculating means (column 2, lines 47-48) and capacitance predicting means predicts based on stored capacitance values (column 6, lines 17-46).

As to claim 8, the LCD includes a memory 20 storing information to obtain voltage from present capacitance value and capacitance value information a pixel 4 will reach when applying voltage to the pixel 4 with a predetermined capacitance (column 2, lines 47-48 and column 6, lines 17-25).

As to claim 9, the stored information in memory 20 used to obtain voltage and capacitance are discrete simulated values (column 2, lines 51-56).

As to claim 10, the stored information in memory 20 used to obtain voltage and capacitance are obtained from transition from a static state (column 1, lines 58-61).

As to claim 11, Hartman et al. teaches an LCD drive circuit include capacitance predicting means (column 6, lines 17-42) where each pixel 4 reaches one refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying predetermined targeted voltage/brightness, storage means 20 for storing predicted capacitance, and voltage calculating means (column 2, lines 47-48) for each pixel 4 voltage based on brightness one refresh cycle later and stored capacitance.

As to claim 12, the capacitance predicting means (column 6, lines 17-42) reads predetermined information from memory 20 that stores capacitance information obtained at one

refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying predetermined pixel 4 voltage with certain capacitance, and interpolates (column 2, lines 36-48) the read information to predict the capacitance value.

As to claim 13, the voltage calculating means (column 2, lines 47-48) reads predetermined information from memory 20 for obtaining applied voltage from certain capacitance value, and interpolates (column 2, lines 36-48) the read information based on stored capacitance value in storage 20 to calculate applied voltage.

As to claim 14, Hartman et al. teaches a method wherein an input pixel 4 value is overdriven (column 5, lines 30-33) to output a modified value including predicting capacitance value each pixel 4 will reach one refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying a predetermined voltage for input pixel value, storing the predicted capacitance (column 6, lines 19-20), and calculating an overdrive voltage (column 6, lines 20-42) to each pixel 4 based on input value one refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) and the stored capacitance.

As to claim 15, the overdrive voltage is calculated (column 6, lines 17-42) using stored capacitance value as a start point and target pixel brightness one refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”).

As to claim 16, Hartman et al. teaches a method for driving an LCD wherein brightness change delays relative to capacitance change (column 1, lines 51-64), including predicting pixel capacitance value when applying predetermined voltage (column 2, lines 36-46), calculating voltage exceeding target pixel value based on input target using predicted capacitance value

(column 5, lines 30-33), and supplying predetermined voltage to the LCD based on calculated voltage (column 6, lines 4-7).

As to claim 17, Hartman et al. teaches a program for directing a computer 1 (Fig. 1) to drive an LCD 2 – 6, including predicting capacitance where each pixel will reach one refresh cycle later (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”) when applying predetermined voltage to the LCD (column 6, lines 16-46), storing the predicted capacitance in buffer 20 of the computer 1, and calculating applied pixel voltage based on pixel value one refresh cycle later and stored capacitance value (column 4, lines 7-12 “subsequent”).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson et al. (6,304,254) is directed to a display device for overdriving pixels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron S. Ward whose telephone number is (703) 305-8992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven J. Saras can be reached on (703) 305-9720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ASW



STEVEN SARAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600